

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/018,024	03/12/2002	Kimihiro Yoshizako	PL-9937	4573	
22840	7590 05/14/2003				
AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES			EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE			THERKORN, ERNEST G		
PISCATAWA	AY, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 10/0/8.024 Office Action Summary THERKOEN -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on Uct 29, 200 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-1/ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. is/are rejected. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) (Claim(s) is/are objected to. ¬——— are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)  $\square$  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  $\square$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,024

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, 10, and 11 drawn to a material.

Group II, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method of separating.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is either obvious or anticipated by the Abstracts each of JP 09-049830, JP 08-103653, JP 07-136505, and JP 07-135957. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

In addition to the restriction requirement, the following two election of species are required:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

## Election I

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/018,024

Art Unit: 1723

Each support, such as agarose or silica, is considered to be distinct species.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

## **Election II**

The species are as follows:

Each physical stimulus, such as temperature, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable on that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: For election I, claim 7 is directed to a species. For election II, claim 4 is directed to a species.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,024 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The generic claim 1 is unpatentable. Each species is patentably distinct from each other species.

A telephone call was made to Royal N. Ronning on April 30, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4/1 of the instant specification lists twelve references, which would appear to be essential for a proper examination of the application. It would be appreciated if applicant would submit copies of these references with his response to this office action. Such a timely submission would enhance the quality of examination. In addition, if applicant submitted the copies of these references with his response to this office action, no fee would be required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT/12 May 1, 2003